MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE WOOD RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

Call Meeting to Order: Chairman Commissioner Jay Bailet called the meeting of the Wood River Fire Protection District to order on October 21, 2020 at Wood River Fire District Station 3, 11053 State Hwy 75, Bellevue, Idaho at 3:00 PM.

Attendance: Commissioners Jay Bailet, Steven Garman, Dennis Kavanagh, Chief Ron Bateman, Öffice Manager Stephanie Jaskowski

Action Item: Swearing-in Ceremony - Oath of Office for Firefighter/Paramedic Erin Griffith

Chief Bateman: It is impossible to speak about Erin without mentioning Jake. They are package deal and the two of them joining WRFR a few years back was a real coup. Interviewing them both, as we did, was a first for me in eleven years as a fire chief. Here we had two incredibly talented and perfect organizational fits for a new position; we could not go wrong. Although you both would have been happy if I had just flipped a coin, the attorney advised against doing that. I want to honor the journey up to this moment and recognize the grace with each of you has supported the other.

Beginning your full-time firefighter career is a really big deal; what 3-4 years ago grew into a passion has now become your profession. I want, in the years that come, for you to remember the passion and excitement that burn inside you right now. It's easy to believe that it would ever go away, but (like most relationships in life) you have to work a little harder over time to keep that fire burning. I know you're committed to throwing another log on pretty regularly (just wear a glove, eh?)

I shared ten months ago, in this same room, when we did a ceremony for Trey that it has been almost 23 years since this same dream came true for me and I can still vividly remember that day. I won't suppose that arriving at my starting line is exactly like yours, but for me, it was a big relief to know there weren't any more questions in life about what I was going to do...when I grew up. The fuzzy future edges came a little more into focus —I got to do something super cool every day and got paid for it. Are you kidding me?!?! I would bet money that you feel the same.

Erin, you are a delight to work alongside. Actually, *delight* is probably too lame a word, I would venture that *RAD* might work better. I know that you are going to be an important conduit towards our organizational growth in the years ahead. Thank you for being you and thank you for being here with us.

ACTION PROPOSED: We will do an oath and I would like Jake to join Erin and I up front to pin on her badge / brass. Jake accomplished his mission without harm and congratulations to Erin. No formal action necessary.

Open session for public comments: None

Consent Agenda:

Action Item: Approve and Sign Old Meeting Minutes from the Regular Meeting on September 16, 2020. Commissioner Garman motioned to approve the minutes from September, 2020 Regular Meeting, Commissioner Kavanagh seconded the motion. All in favor, motion carried.

Action Item: Approve and Sign September 2020 Payables. Commissioner Garman motioned to approve the September 2020 Payables; Commissioner Kavanagh seconded the motion. All in favor, motion carried.

Chiefs Report:

ACTION ITEM: Nepotism Policy

DESCRIPTION: We discussed this policy at last month's meeting, and I have worked extensively with counsel to finalize it. The resolution included along with this month's chief's report speaks to the rationale behind the policy and the process to develop it. We will distribute a copy to each member and have them acknowledge receipt.

ACTION PROPOSED: Subject to discussion and dialogue from the BoFCs, I would ask for a motion to approve Resolution 2020-03 with roll call vote, with requisite signatures.

The Commissioners reviewed the Resolution, had brief discussion for clarity. **Commissioner Kavanagh** motioned to approve Resolution 2020-03 A Resolution concerning the Modification of a Nepotism Policy for the Wood River Fire & Rescue. Commissioner Garman seconded the motion. All in favor, motion carried.

ACTION ITEM: Ratification of Legal Services Agreement

DESCRIPTION: As discussed at our September meeting, we decided for a handful of reasons that, right now, we needed larger, more diverse legal representation. We engaged the services of Cherese McLain (MSBT Law). We signed an LSA late last month and she felt it worthwhile that we ratify that agreement in this forum.

ACTION PROPOSED: I emailed the LSA to the BoFCs on September 23rd and I have included it in the fire chief's packet today, too. **Subject to any discussion, I would ask for a simple motion and roll call vote to ratify the agreement.**

Commissioner Garman motioned to approve the ratification of the Agreement for Legal Services with MSBT Law, Commissioner Kavanagh seconded the motion. All in favor Motion carried.

ACTION ITEM: Logo

DESCRIPTION: I cannot recall when I first asked ENG Griffith about working on a new logo for WRFR. Over the course of several months she, patiently, listened to my input and enthusiastically worked on several different versions. We were able to collect some great feedback (both subjective and objective) from two dozen members. Ultimately, we went with the safer, honoring-our-history version. I think it is a nice, yet more contemporary logo.

ACTION PROPOSED: I don't have plans to immediately begin re-branding apparatus and such, but the main reason I'd like the BoFCs blessing has to do primarily with clothing. I have put a printed version in your packets and would ask **for discussion and direction. No formal action taken.**

The commissions held discussion, liked the logo and appreciated the efforts put into the new design purposed. They are good to go forward with this project.

ITEM: Election Questions / Strategic Planning (Chief's Report)

DESCRIPTION: Last month, the BoFCs directed me to explore more deliberately options with regards to asking an election question – for operations and / or for the construction of a new fire station. I've done a lot of research, but today's report will not be the end of the story, but just the beginning. I would like to come back to you in November with a more specific plan / timeline.

Although I'm told it's been a very long time since we've asked something of the voters. I knew that it would be a lot to ask of a community. My supposition was reinforced when I watched the online candidate forum on October 7th and multiple candidates talked of keeping property taxes low.

As I got to looking into taxing realities in Idaho more closely, I bumbled upon a fundamental difference between my previous (Colorado) reality and that here. The Blaine County Assessor, Jim Williams, put me in touch with a tax guru at the State. Alan Dornfest shared a PowerPoint (I shared a couple pages with you) with me and then, he and I spoke on the phone on October 16th. As longtime residents, you perhaps know that the fundamental difference revolves around revenue increasing only 3% each year, summed up as such:

Colorado: Assessed Value x Mill Levy = Revenue

Idaho: Revenue + 3% / Assessed Value = Mill Levy

For example, in Colorado our AV went up 12.5% from 2018 to 2019 (\$408 million to \$460 million). Using the above formula and a mill levy of 0.0115, our revenue also went up 12.5%, from \$4,692,000 to \$5,290,000. Using similar figures, in Idaho, our revenue would have gone up 3% to \$4,832,760 and our mill levy would have dropped from 0.0115 to 0.0105. In short, the more conservative landscape makes growing a service substantially (or wholly) funded by property tax revenue exceedingly difficult – that's why our FT staffing is essentially the same in 2020 as it was in 2007 (per the department composite on the wall at Station 1).

Other pertinent election / tax information:

A temporary mill override is for the short term and lasts two years. It requires a simple majority to pass.

A permanent mill override sets a new "floor" and requires a two-thirds vote to pass. Similarly, a bond question requires a two-thirds vote and can be up to twenty years. Although there is a need for both operational and capital improvement, for a number of reasons I am in support of asking a bond question and crafting, albeit a little more tricky, a plan to address operational needs in a different way.

First, I believe it to be a considerable political risk to ask for a levy override when you look at adjacent levies. If you look at two houses — one in Starweather and one in the Heatherlands — both assessed at \$500,000. The former, as part of the WRFPD, pays a mill levy of 0.001076538 to the District. That comes out to \$538.27 / year. The latter, part of the KRFPD, pays a mill levy of 0.0001946010 to their district. That comes out to \$97.30 / year. The reason for this difference lies in a total WRFR AV around \$1 billion and KRFPD around \$2.3 billion. The risk is clear — why would someone support paying more when they already pay more?

Second, I think I can work through the operational improvements outside of an election question...at least right now. The October schedule has been full, and the November schedule is too. December is even close to full way ahead of time. I predicted, in my June 17th Fire Chief's Report, that we could get consistency with 4-person staffing with this number of full-time members and 98.5% of the time. It's working right now, although we do need to focus on recruiting and retention and a method to not over utilized the TFT plan. I believe a bond question to build a new Station 1 is far more likely to succeed.

SVFD Chief Robrahn shared an architect contact named Gunnar Gladics with the firm of Rice Fergus Miller, Inc. I reached out to him last week and we talked a bit. He has family locally and was actually a POC member at Sun Valley several years back. I shared with him a desire to possibly put a bond question on the ballot as early as next May. He thought that was possible but might be pushing it. He and I have a teleconference scheduled for next Tuesday, October 27th. CPT Taylor will sit in as well.

What was paramount that we put together a project group and start identifying things we would need in a station. We've started that ball rolling and I hope to give a little more guidance to those folks late next week. I believe that we work with this architecture firm and they will assist with the plan and PR, as I understand it. We do not have funds earmarked for this in the FY 2021 Operational Budget, but we do have contingency funds and we do have \$50,000 budgeted in the FY 2021 Capital Budget for Land Purchases / Station Improvements that may potentially be used for Mr. Gladics' services.

ACTION PROPOSED: In short, I have the makings of a plan, but I would like the BoFCs to consider — now and over the next month —using our "Forgone" next year. That figure (from our L2 Worksheet) is \$43,418. **For discussion, if needed. No formal action necessary.**

The commissioners held discussion, clarified Gunnar Gladics' pre-architectural/programing process with Chief Robrahn (present in audience) and their experiences in staging new construction including

building site analysis, cost estimates, etc. for New Fire Station. Commissioner Kavanagh suggested a meeting with City of Ketchum Mayor and Fire Chief to review their recent experiences in discussing this process for educational purposes for our directions. At the direction of the commissioners, Chief Bateman will come back to the November meeting with more detailed plans and numbers.

ITEM: Operations / COVID Update

DESCRIPTION: I wanted to share a couple of operational updates. Although I have included the COVID situation (and my ever-evolving plan) in my weekly email, I think it merits a little more conversation here. CPT Sears, in the latter part of September, was able to incorporate in the WRFR Morning Briefing email up-to-date data from the Harvard Global Health Institute for Blaine County prevalence. This email allows our membership to be situationally aware of our local reality in real time. Our numbers, as we all know, have gone up significantly and the real concern now is overloading the health system locally and regionally...and our role in moving patients. I have done a lot of legwork in preparing a plan but haven't enacted anything just yet.

Chief Robrahn and I visited on yesterday. He had inquired about SVs ability to help with transfers. I thought that this was a great idea, especially as it protects our folks from working too often. As this situation develops, we may explore that possibility downrange.

Concurrent / Stacked Calls:

I have included in your packet a concurrent call spreadsheet that I have put together from CAD reports from staff and other data mining done by staff. I want to especially thank CPT White, for helping me collect this data.

If you recall, a slice of my presentation to the BCAD on July 14th cited a May email to the Hailey City Administrator where I responded to a concern expressed to me in late 2019. That email (and that presentation) referenced four concurrent calls between April 22nd and May 6th

[W]e have had 4 times in the last two weeks (4/22 - 5/6) where we have been dispatched to concurrent (or stacked calls). This was a concern that you shared with me last November. To attest: **April 22, 2020** - A call at 530 PM (arrival time in Bellevue of 6 minutes) and another at 538 PM (arrival time in Hailey of 2 minutes)

April 27, 2020 - A call at 318 PM (arrival time in Hailey of 7 minutes) and another at 353 PM (arrival time in Hailey of 5 minutes)

May 1, 2020 - A call at 717 PM (cancelled en route / re-requested prior to return to station) and another at 732 PM (arrival time in Hailey of 5 minutes)

May 5, 2020 - A call at 441 PM (arrival time in Hailey of 12 minutes; COVID PPE reason for longer response) and another at 458 PM (arrival time in Hailey of 6 minutes)

What I have done, then, is taken a substantially longer look at this very real, very relevant operational challenge (of receiving a second call for service while we are still actively engaged in another). How often does it really happen? Were those two weeks this past spring an anomaly? Does 4-person staffing have a profound impact? So, I looked at a three-month period – from July 20th until October 20th – and found that it has occurred sixteen times.

On average, then, we receive a stacked call for service about once every 5 days. With a dearth of PT / POC / RFT members regularly responding to standby, it's easy to assert that we do need to maintain 4-person staffing for this stacked call reality alone.

ACTION PROPOSED: Both items are primarily for information and discussion. The former because any plan to add a driver costs money and executing the transfer costs even more and impacts our service reality for the time of the transfer (5-8 hours). BCAD has said that they do have CARES money remaining, so I believe that some, if not all, will be recoverable depending on the duration of our involvement. The latter item will serve as the platform for a subsequent and specific presentation to the BoFCs and the BCAD. **For discussion and general direction. No formal action necessary.**

The commissioners held discussion, appreciated the hard work gathering this information. Chief will continue to gather data and report back to the board to strategize best direction to go on this subject.

Old Business: None

New Business: None

Meeting Adjourned.

Any Other Business: Chief Rich Bauer from Ketchum Rural Fire District mentioned the district is going through a re-branding/re-naming process. A suggested new name is Blaine County Fire & Rescue under consideration. This is a work in process aiming for adopting a new name at their next commissioner's meeting.

Chief Robrahn mentioned they are continuing to work on the housing at Greenhorn Station, process of getting support from BCRD/IDT on land acquisition. They are moving ahead on this project.

Adjourn: Commissioner Garman motioned to adjourn the meeting and Commissioner Kavanagh seconded the motion for Adjournment at 4:10 pm. All in favor, motion carried.

Stephanie Jaskowski, District Clerk

APPROVED:

Jay Bailet, Chairman

Steven Garman

Dennis Kavanagh

WOOD RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

RESOLUTION 2020 - 03 A RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE MODIFICATION OF A NEPOTISM POLICY FOR THE WOOD RIVER FIRE RESCUE

WHEREAS, the WOOD RIVER Fire Protection District ("District") is tasked with the delivery of fire and rescue services in Blaine County, ID; and

WHEREAS, relative relationships (as defined in the attached policy) could potentially compromise the ability to effectively and professionally deliver those services; and

WHEREAS, those relative relationships may also potentially violate Idaho Statute \S 18 - 1359 and / or Idaho Statute \S 74 – 403; and

WHEREAS, the District would like to modify its nepotism policy immediately to best mitigate potential problems, ensure top shelf service delivery and satisfy State Statute; and

WHEREAS, the District Fire Chief and District Counsel solicited feedback from subordinate leadership on September 10, 2020, from the Board of Fire Commissioners on September 16, 2020 and from Local 4923 on October 7, 2020 in writing this new policy.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD THE WOOD RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT:

Section 1. That the attached policy will immediately replace Section 2.6 in the Wood River Fire & Rescue Policies and Procedures Handbook (dated 2010).

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 21st day of October 2020.

WOOD RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

Chairperson

ATTEST:

Commissioner

Commissioner

NEPOTISM POLICY

This policy shall replace 2.6 of the most recent Wood River Fire Rescue Handbook and shall apply to all employment classifications.

For the purposes of this policy the term "relative" shall include the following relationships: relationships established by blood, marriage or legal action. Examples include the employee's: spouse, mother, father, son, daughter, sister, brother, mother-in-law, father-in-law, sister-in-law, brother-in-law, son-in law, daughter-in-law, stepparent, stepchild, aunt, uncle, nephew, niece, grandparent, grandson or cousin. The term also includes domestic partners (a person with whom the employee's life is interdependent and who shares a common residence) and a daughter or son of an employee's domestic partner.

The District cannot govern off-duty relationships, but because WRFR is a paramilitary organization that lends itself to the creation of power structures that have the potential to affect proper professional and personal relationships while on duty, resulting in uncomfortable strain or hostility, as well as perceived or actual favoritism and potential misconduct - all of which could result in diminished public trust and compromise our ability to serve.

Therefore, ordinarily, the District will not employ, nor deploy relatives in circumstances where one would directly or indirectly exercise supervisory, promotion or dismissal authority over the other; where one would directly or indirectly have authority over disciplinary action over the other; where one would have auditing, financial or control relationship over the other; or where one would have access to confidential information over the other. The District may limit the selection or promotion of individuals to positions in cases where such selection or promotion may result in these instances.

Any current situation at the time of this policy wherein relatives are working together, the employees shall provide written notice to their superior or Fire Chief that a relative relationship exists and provide the employment positions of each party. This shall be placed in the employee personnel file. As set forth in this policy, these employees shall cooperate with their superior or Fire Chief to avoid any situation resulting in where one of these employees would directly or indirectly exercise supervisory, promotion or dismissal authority over the other; where one would directly or indirectly have authority over disciplinary action over the other; where one would have auditing, financial or control relationship over the other; or where one would have access to confidential information over the other.

Ordinarily, as used above, recognizes the small size of the emergency responder labor force in the Wood River community and the always present, but rare, possibility that a large event may result in relatives working together for a short period of time, towards a more successful emergency services outcome.

In order to best manage, direct and supervise the District, if the Fire Chief deems a relationship defined within this policy to have become organizationally harmful, he or she may transfer or reassign an employee to maintain the efficiency of the operation of the District. The Fire Chief

reserves the right to exercise appropriate managerial judgment to take such actions as may be necessary to achieve this intent of this policy. The agency reserves the right to vary from the guidelines outlined in this policy to address unusual circumstances on a case by case basis. It is the responsibility of every employee to identify to their superior or Fire Chief any potential or existing personal relationship which falls under the definition of "relative" under this policy. Employees who fail to disclose personal relationships covered by this policy will be subject to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

With the prior approval of the Fire Chief or their designee, uniformed relatives may infrequently work on the same shift but cannot work at the same station.

Nothing contained in this policy should contradict Idaho Code §18-1359.

I, the undersigned, hereby acknowledge and state the new nepotism policy.	at I have been provided with a copy of this
Name (printed):	
Signed:	-
Date:	-

MSBT Law

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

SHAPPON M. ATWELL STEPHANIE J. BONNEY= PALIC J. FITZER CHURESE D. MCLAIN Anthony M. Pantera, IV Geoffrey A. Schroeder Franchs R. Stern 7699 West Riverside Drive Boise, ID 83714 Telephone: (208) 331-1800 Facsimile: (208) 331-1202 Www.msrllav.com

MICHAEL C. MOORE, of Counsel DENNIS E. RADOCHA, of Counsel

> Also adoptivel in Urah

September 21, 2020

Wood River Fire Protection District dba Wood River Fire & Rescue c/o Ron Bateman, Chief 117 East Walnut Street Hailey, Idaho 83333

Re: Letter Agreement for Legal Services

Dear Chief Bateman.

We are pleased to welcome you as a client of MSBT Law. Chtd. (hereinafter the "Attorneys" or "Firm"). This letter sets forth the terms of our engagement.

You have retained us to provide legal services and any other services requested by the client.

Attorneys of the Firm shall provide legal advice and representation, and shall perform such tasks and services as are necessary, in the Attorneys' judgment, to accomplish this duty. The Attorneys' role is primarily that of adviser and counselor, not decision maker, and you are expected and required to authorize major decisions regarding the outcome or conduct of the representation. Such "major decisions" might include, but are not necessarily limited to, settlement authority or terms, approval for filing dispositive substantive motions, employment of expert witnesses or consultants, and initiation or participation in appeal proceedings. Any litigation also includes "additional decisions" involving matters of execution, strategy or tactics which, due to scope, timing, or other factors will generally be addressed without formal approval by you. Such "additional decisions" might include, but are not necessarily limited to, structure and presentation of written and oral argument and selection of exhibits, witnesses, terminology or techniques used in argument: routine correspondence with court staff, agency personnel or opposing counsel; direction and scope of legal research efforts; and other specific decisions of a tactical nature.

You further agree: (1) to regularly communicate with the Attorneys and advise them of any changes in your goals or expectations in any litigation and representation, concerns about the

existence or disclosure of privileged communications, and any material factual developments that could influence the outcome or strategic posture of any litigation and representation; (2) to abide by, and make reasonable efforts to ensure compliance with any instructions provided by the Attorneys regarding strategic matters and communications with the media or the general public regarding any litigation and representation; and (3) to vigorously protect and defend the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product privilege, and other protections covering communications between you and the Attorneys that are conducted in confidence and address matters pertaining to any litigation or representation.

The Firm shall be compensated at an hourly rate for services performed. The hourly rate for services performed by Cherese D. McLain shall be \$225.00 per hour, any services rendered by other attorneys shall be performed at \$195.00 per hour and any services performed by Paralegals, shall be \$85.00 per hour. The Firm shall also be compensated for reasonable expenses related to any litigation or representation, which typically include, but may not be limited to, telephone charges, including long distance and wireless charges, photocopy, fax, transcript fees, computerized legal research, travel, mileage, meals, and similar expenses. On or about the fifteenth day of each month we will submit an itemized billing statement which will detail the hourly fees and expenses for the preceding calendar month. Invoices are payable upon receipt. We will send bills for your attorney's fees and expenses in this matter to you, which are due and payable upon receipt. Failure to timely pay the bills may result in accruing interest on the unpaid balance at the statutory rate of interest established by the Idaho State Treasurer, and may result in our withdrawal as legal counsel.

You further agree: (1) to regularly communicate with the Attorneys and advise them of any changes in your goals or expectations in any litigation and representation, concerns about the existence or disclosure of privileged communications, and any material factual developments that could influence the outcome or strategic posture of any litigation and representation; (2) to abide by, and make reasonable efforts to ensure compliance with any instructions provided by the Attorneys regarding strategic matters and communications with the media or the general public regarding any litigation and representation; and (3) to vigorously protect and defend the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product privilege, and other protections covering communications between you and the Attorneys that are conducted in confidence and address matters pertaining to any litigation or representation.

As legal consultant to Client, Attorneys will refuse any new relationship or agreement which may tend to conflict with the interests of a current client. On rare occasions, conflicts develop among the interests of existing clients; in those cases, Attorneys will make every effort to resolve the conflicts in such a way as to allow uninterrupted representation for Client.

Either party may terminate their relationship under this Letter by providing written notice to the other party. Any disputes between the parties arising under this Letter shall be governed by the laws of the State of Idaho, and venue for any such dispute shall be Ada County, Idaho.

If at any time during the term of this Agreement any claim, dispute, difference, or disagreement arises regarding the performance of this Agreement, any project, assignment, task order, payment invoices or related matters, the Client and Firm agree that binding arbitration shall

September 21, 2020 Page 3

be the sole and exclusive remedy for resolution of said claims. Any claim, dispute, difference or disagreement shall be referred to a single arbiter, agreed upon by the parties. If no single arbiter can be agreed up by the parties, an arbiter or arbiters shall be selected in accordance with the rules of the American Arbitration Association. Such claim, dispute, difference or disagreement shall be settled in accordance with the then prevailing commercial rules of the American Arbitration Association, and judgment upon the award rendered by the arbiter(s) may be entered in any court having jurisdiction thereof. Any disputes between the parties arising under this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Idaho and venue for any such dispute shall be Ada County, Idaho.

If this letter accurately reflects your understanding of our agreement, please acknowledge your approval and acceptance of these terms by signing and returning to me a copy of this letter. I would be pleased to answer any questions you might have. This letter may be signed in counterparts.

CLIENT

BY:

Ron Bateman, Chief

Wood River Fire Protection District dba Wood River Fire & Rescue

DATED: 9 2

MSBT LAW CI

BY:

Cherese D. McLain, Shareholder

DATED

en geste

and the state of t

[EXTERNAL] Logo, FINAL!

Erin Griffith [erins.griffith@gmail.com]
Sent:Friday, October 16, 2020 2:11 PM

To: Ron Bateman



Sent from my iPad

Taxing District Budgets v. Values

Myth or Reality?

Taxable value X levy rate = Budget \$ NO! \$10,000,000 X 0.008 = \$80,000 (initial property tax budget)

- What if taxable value of existing property doubles? \$20,000,000 X 0.008 = \$160,000 NO!
- 3% Cap constrains budget and forces levy rate down!
 \$80,000 X 1.03 = \$82,400 / \$20,000,000 = 0.00412
 \$20,000,000 X 0.00412 = \$82,400

This taxing district can increase its revenue from property tax by only \$2,400 regardless of assessed value changes!

So what does this mean for an individual taxpayer?

- Given \$100,000 in taxable value before values increased:
 - \$100,000 X 0.008 = \$800
- Possibilities:
 - Each property value doubles
 - \$200,000 X 0.00412 = \$824 (a three percent increase)
 - Some properties increase more in value than others
 - The new levy rate (0.00412) applies to all equally.
 - Properties with more rapidly increasing values will have more than a three percent increase in taxes; properties with less value increase will have less than a three percent increase in taxes
 - Limit does not apply to individual properties

CONCURRENT CALLS - SUMMER / FALL 2020

NUMBER	RUN#	DATE	DISPATCH TIME	ON SCENE	RESPONSE TIME	LOCATION	ADDITIONAL INFO
1	444	7/20/2020	8:59 AM	9:03 AM	4.00	City of Hailey	
	445	7/20/2020	9:17 AM	9:19 AM	2.00	City of Bellevue	
2	462	7/29/2020	11:26 PM	11:31 PM	5.00	City of Hailey	
	463	7/29/2020	11:48 PM	11:51 PM	3.00	City of Hailey	
3	498	8/18/2020	9:42 AM	9:49 AM	7.00	City of Hailey	
	499	8/18/2020	10:33 AM	10:35 AM	2.00	City of Hailey	
4	506	8/22/2020	4:00 AM	4:15 AM	15.00	WRFR (Croy)	
	507	8/22/2020	5:28 AM	5:39 AM	11.00	City of Hailey	
5	514	8/25/2020	6:40 AM	6:58 AM	18.00	WRFR (MP 102)	
	515	8/25/2020	7:07 AM	7:17 AM	10.00	WRFR (Ohio Gulch)	
6	517	8/26/2020	12:09 PM	12:18 PM	9.00	City of Bellevue	
	518	8/26/2020	12:55 PM	1:00 PM	5.00	WRFR (Red Devil)	
7	520	8/26/2020	7:51 PM	8:25 PM		WRFR (Greenhorn)	
	521	8/26/2020	9:07 PM	9:19 PM	12.00	WRFR (Glendale)	
	522	8/26/2020	9:36 PM	9:52 PM	16.00	WRFR (Bayhorse)	
8	533	8/31/2020	1:01 PM	1:06 PM	5.00	City of Hailey	
	534	8/31/2020	1:17 PM	1:34 PM	17.00	WRFR (HWY 20)	
9	559	9/12/2020	12:40 PM	12:43 PM	3.00	City of Hailey	
	560	9/12/2020	1:39 PM	1:40 PM	1.00	City of Bellevue	

CONCURRENT CALLS - SUMMER / FALL 2020

NUMBER	RUN#	DATE	DISPATCH TIME	ON SCENE	RESPONSE TIME	LOCATION	ADDITIONAL INFO
10	574	9/18/2020	7:31 PM	7:35 PM	4.00	City of Hailey	
	575	9/18/2020	8:13 PM	8:17 PM	4.00	City of Hailey	
11	593	9/25/2020	10:11 PM	10:23 PM	12.00	WRFR (Baseline)	
of papersons	594	9/25/2020	10:33 PM	10:34 PM	1.00	City of Bellevue	
12	626	10/10/2020	2:12 AM	2:24 AM	12.00	City of Hailey	
	627	10/10/2020	2:16 AM	2:18 AM	2.00	City of Hailey	
13	638	10/14/2020	5:06 PM	5:14 PM	8.00	City of Hailey	
	639	10/14/2020	5:40 PM	5:43 PM	3.00	City of Bellevue	
14	642	10/15/2020	4:46 AM	4:50 AM	4.00	City of Hailey	
	643	10/15/2020	5:28 AM	5:33 AM	5.00	City of Bellevue	
15	653	10/19/2020	8:34 AM	8:46 AM	12.00	WRFR (Timmerman)	
	654	10/19/2020	8:51 AM	8:53 AM	2.00	City of Hailey	
16	656	10/19/2020	7:41 PM	7:42 PM	1.00	City of Hailey	
	657	10/19/2020	7:55 PM	8:06 PM	12.00	City of Bellevue	
AVERAGE					7.13		
90th Percent	ile				15.00		
90th Percent	ile - Hailey / B	ellevue			11.00		p.

16 calls in Hailey, 9 in WRFR, 7 in Bellevue, 1 KRFPD 23 x .9 = 20.7 (Drop the longest 2 responses) / 16 x .9 = 14.4 (Drop 1)

Register: 1100 · Cash & Cash Equivalents:1100.1 · Mtn West Checking

From 10/01/2020 through 10/31/2020 Sorted by: Date, Type, Number/Ref

Date	Number	Payee	Account	Memo	Payment	C	Deposit	Balance
10/01/2020	23775	ERICA EXLINE - IN	2200 · Accounts Payable	From Sept RB P	1,000.00			14,255.38
10/01/2020	23776	BIG WOOD FITNESS	C · PERSONNEL:6100	rioin sept tas i	250.00			14,005.38
10/01/2020	23777	BLAINE COUNTY E	2200 · Accounts Payable		2,832.69			11,172.69
10/01/2020	23778	BLUE CROSS OF ID	2200 · Accounts Payable		12,743.94			-1,571.25
10/01/2020	23779	DELTA DENTAL	2200 · Accounts Payable		1,118.40			-2,689.65
10/01/2020	23780	NCPERS GROUP LIF	2200 · Accounts Payable	OCTOBER 202	176.00			-2,865.65
10/01/2020	23788	CITY OF HAILEY / C	2200 · Accounts Payable	OCTOBER 202	1,754.61		•	-4,620.26
10/05/2020			1100 · Cash & Cash Equ	Funds Transfer	1,704.01		75,000.00	70,379.74
10/07/2020	ACH	HRA VEBA	C · PERSONNEL:6100	October 2020	7,200.00		75,000.00	63,179.74
10/07/2020	23781	AMAZON.COM	2200 · Accounts Payable		30.86			63,148.88
10/07/2020	23782	BLAINE COUNTY E	2200 · Accounts Payable		2,443.07			60,705.81
10/07/2020	23783	BPA HEALTH	2200 · Accounts Payable		156.95			60,548.86
10/07/2020	23784	COX COMMUNICAT	2200 · Accounts Payable		256.97			60,291.89
10/07/2020	23785	SATELLITE PHONE	2200 · Accounts Payable		55.76			60,236.13
10/07/2020	23786	WSCFF EMPLOYEE	2200 · Accounts Payable		500.00			59,736.13
10/08/2020	BASE-PE	PERSI	-split-	M040	9,165.33			50,570.80
10/08/2020	CHOICE	PERSI	-split-	M040	1,561.06			49,009.74
10/08/2020	EFTPS	UNITED STATES TR	-split-	82-0397612	11,555.57			37,454.17
10/08/2020			1100 · Cash & Cash Equ	Funds Transfer	3,740.45			33,713.72
10/08/2020			1100 · Cash & Cash Equ		26,252.99			7,460.73
10/09/2020	EFTPS	UNITED STATES TR	-split-	82-0397612	112.72			7,348.01
10/10/2020	AutoPay1	CENTURY LINK	E · ADMINISTRATION		344.34			7,003.67
10/12/2020	Autopay !	INTERMOUNTAIN G	3800 · Opening Balance		16.66			6,987.01
10/12/2020	Autopay2	INTERMOUNTAIN G	3800 · Opening Balance		13.48			6,973.53
10/12/2020	Autopay3	INTERMOUNTAIN G	3800 · Opening Balance		65.98			6,907.55
10/13/2020			1100 · Cash & Cash Equ	Funds Transfer	427.08			6,480.47
10/14/2020			-split-	Deposit			24.94	6,505.41
10/15/2020			-split-	Deposit			99,360.59	105,866.00
10/16/2020			-split-	Deposit			14,134.89	120,000.89
10/16/2020			3800 · Opening Balance	Deposit			612.53	120,613.42
10/22/2020	BASE	PERSI	-split-	M040	10,007.00			110,606.42
10/22/2020	CHOICE	PERSI	-split-	M040	1,496.74			109,109.68
10/22/2020	EFTPS	UNITED STATES TR	-split-	82-0397612	12,976.68			96,133.00
10/22/2020	23787	STATE TAX COMMI	2400 · Payroll Liabilities	000230196-W	3,817.00			92,316.00
10/22/2020	23789	WOOD RIVER FIRE	2400 · Payroll Liabilities		641.82			91,674.18
10/22/2020	23803	STATE INSURANCE	2200 · Accounts Payable	503920	3,398.00			88,276.18
10/22/2020			1100 · Cash & Cash Equ	Funds Transfer	4,977.87			83,298.31
10/22/2020			1100 · Cash & Cash Equ	Funds Transfer	28,214.46			55,083.85
10/23/2020	23790	BOUND TREE MEDI	2200 · Accounts Payable		118.37			54,965.48
10/23/2020	23791	GREAT AMERICA FI	2200 · Accounts Payable		93.00			54,872.48
			Page 1					-

WOOD RIVER FIRE & RESCUE

Register: 1100 - Cash & Cash Equivalents:1100.1 · Mtn West Checking

From 10/01/2020 through 10/31/2020 Sorted by: Date, Type, Number/Ref

Date	Number	Payee	Account	Memo	Payment	С	Deposit	Balance
10/23/2020	23792	IDAHO STATE POLI	2200 · Accounts Payable		20.00			54,852.48
10/23/2020	23793	MES-MUNICIPAL E	2200 · Accounts Payable		2,936.78			51,915.70
10/23/2020	23794	MINIDOKA MEMOR	2200 · Accounts Payable		36.00			51,879.70
10/23/2020	23795	ROCKY MOUNTAIN	2200 · Accounts Payable		119.74			51,759.96
10/23/2020	23796	SAFELINK INTERNET	2200 · Accounts Payable		114.00			51,645.96
10/23/2020	23797	TELEFLEX, LLC	2200 ·· Accounts Payable		308.50			51,337.46
10/23/2020	23798	UNITED OIL	2200 · Accounts Payable		594.18			50,743.28
10/26/2020			-split-	Deposit			50.00	50,793.28
10/28/2020	AutoPay3	CENTURY LINK	E · ADMINISTRATION	Station 3	85.97			50,707.31

Wood River Fire & Rescue – Accounts Payable Report

Register: Mountain West Operations Checking Account & Current Assets

From: 10/01/2020 to 10/31/2020

	Oct 31, 20
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Checking/Savings	
1100 · Cash & Cash Equivalents	
1100.1 · Mtn West Checking	
1100.11 · Nitn West Sweep	25,894.91
1100.1 · Mtn West Checking - Other	24,812.40
Total 1100.1 · Mtn West Checking	50,707.31
1100.2 · Mtn West Reserve Ckng	447.31
1100.3 · Local Govt Investment Pool	1,913,298.30
1100.4 · Petty Cash	59.83
Total 1100 · Cash & Cash Equivalents	1,964,512.75
Total Checking/Savings	1,964,512.75
Accounts Receivable	
1150 · Accounts Receivable	
1151 · Accounts Receivable, net	2,894.00
Total 1150 · Accounts Receivable	2,894.00
Total Accounts Receivable	2,894.00
Total Current Assets	1,967,406.75
TOTAL ASSETS	1,967,406.75

Stephanie Jaskowski, District Clerk

APPROVED:
- Con The
Jay Bailet Commissioner
NUT PRESENT
Steven Garman, Commissioner
Cham Kavanagh
Dennis Kavanagh, Commissioner
DATE: 11/18/20